Reinforcing Transparency: The Synergistic Role of Forensic Accounting and Investigative Auditing in Combating Corporate Fraud

A. Fajar Mujahidin
Student, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Syari'ah Putera Bangsa Tegal, Indonesia
*Corresponding email: fajarmujahidin21@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study aims to analyze the role of forensic accounting and investigative auditing in preventing and detecting corporate fraud through a synthesis of recent empirical and theoretical findings. Using a qualitative narrative literature review approach, the research examines 20 peer-reviewed studies published between 2020 and 2024 that discuss the integration of forensic audit practices, internal control mechanisms, and good corporate governance. Data were analyzed through thematic synthesis and critical comparison to identify recurring patterns, methodological differences, and research gaps. The findings reveal that forensic accounting and investigative auditing contribute significantly to fraud prevention and detection when supported by competent auditors, robust governance frameworks, and adequate institutional and technological resources. Conversely, their effectiveness diminishes in organizations lacking coordination, regulatory clarity, or adequate training in forensic techniques. The study also highlights that digitalization, whistleblower protection, and data analytics serve as important enhancers of forensic audit effectiveness. The implications emphasize the need for organizations to strengthen governance systems, invest in auditor competence, and standardize forensic audit frameworks to ensure sustainability and transparency in financial management. The novelty of this research lies in its integrative synthesis, which bridges theoretical frameworks and empirical findings to construct a comprehensive model explaining how forensic accounting and investigative auditing jointly reinforce corporate fraud prevention and detection.

Keywords : Forensic accounting, investigative audit, fraud prevention, corporate governance, financial crime.

A. INTRODUCTION

Financial reports play a vital role in ensuring organizational accountability and transparency. They must be both accurate and truthful, as they provide the framework for decision-making by management, investors, creditors, and various stakeholders. Reliable financial information forms the foundation for evaluating a company's performance, sustainability, and compliance with regulatory requirements (ACFE, 2024).

Therefore, the credibility of financial reports is a critical element that supports trust in the overall business ecosystem. According to the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE, 2024), organizations lose an average of about 5% of their annual revenue due to fraud, with a median loss per case of approximately USD 145,000. This statistic reflects the global scale of financial misconduct that affects both private and public institutions. In Indonesia, the magnitude of potential losses can be staggering; for instance, alleged corruption in PT Pertamina's crude oil management is estimated to have caused state losses of around Rp193.7 trillion (Tempo.co, 2025). Such cases highlight that financial fraud is not only an internal corporate issue but also a macroeconomic threat that undermines public trust and the country's economic resilience.

It is essential to distinguish between fraud and error, as both have different characteristics and implications. An error refers to an unintentional mistake resulting from negligence or misinterpretation, whereas fraud involves deliberate actions aimed at personal or organizational gain (Agoes, 2013). Fraud often manifests through manipulation of financial statements, misappropriation of assets, or corruption schemes designed to deceive users of financial information. Therefore, understanding these distinctions is fundamental for developing effective detection and prevention mechanisms within the auditing and accounting profession.

In this context, forensic accounting emerges as a specialized field of accounting that applies investigative skills to uncover and analyze financial data for use in legal proceedings (Wind, 2014). Similarly, investigative auditing involves the application of audit and investigative techniques to reveal fraudulent acts or financial crimes (Herlambang, 2011). The integration of these two disciplines provides a comprehensive approach to addressing financial irregularities. This synergy not only assists in litigation and law enforcement processes but also strengthens corporate governance, transparency, and accountability (Ernawati, 2018).

Despite the growing recognition of the importance of forensic accounting and investigative auditing, much of the existing literature focuses primarily on post-fraud detection rather than prevention. Studies conducted in Indonesia (Ramlan et al., 2023; Sinaga & Rahmah, 2023; Kuntadi et al., 2023) generally support the positive influence of these practices on fraud detection. However, other researchers (Pamungkas & Jaeni, 2022; Siti Rohmah et al., 2023) reveal inconsistencies regarding their application, particularly in the context of whistleblowing systems and internal reporting mechanisms. These gaps indicate the need for a deeper understanding of how these disciplines can be optimized not only to detect but also to prevent fraud effectively.

This research seeks to address the existing gap by exploring the role of forensic accounting and investigative auditing in identifying and mitigating

corporate fraud in Indonesia. The study aims to provide empirical insights into how these practices contribute to improving fraud detection systems, supporting corporate compliance, and enhancing the ethical culture within organizations. Moreover, the research will offer practical recommendations for auditors, forensic specialists, corporations, and regulatory authorities in developing more robust frameworks for fraud prevention and detection, ultimately promoting a more transparent and accountable business environment.

B. THEORETICAL
Forensic Accounting and Investigative Auditing

Forensic accounting is defined as the application of accounting, auditing, and investigative techniques to identify, analyze, and document financial evidence that can be used in legal proceedings and enforcement (Navarrete & Gallego, 2022). The main objective of forensic accounting is to trace financial transactions, analyze irregularities, and generate audit trails that reveal potential indicators of fraud or misconduct (Zahra & Haryati, 2024). The effectiveness of this approach depends largely on the competency of forensic accountants, their professional skepticism, and access to analytical tools and digital forensics within the organization (Husnul Khatimah et al., 2024).

Investigative auditing, on the other hand, focuses on collecting and analyzing evidence to uncover suspected fraud or abuse (Pramesti & Kuntadi, 2022). This audit type applies deeper, evidence-based investigative methods that can reveal the modus operandi of complex fraudulent activities, especially in procurement or financial transactions (Pratiwi & Rely, 2024). The regular implementation of investigative audits by skilled auditors serves a dual function as a detective tool to uncover fraud and as a preventive mechanism that deters future fraudulent behavior (Maharani, Fitrijanti, & Mulyani, 2024). When integrated, forensic accounting and investigative auditing strengthen each other, providing a comprehensive framework for fraud detection, prevention, and legal action (Rani, Maharani, & Machdar, 2024).

Fraud Concepts and Theoretical Frameworks

Fraud refers to intentional acts aimed at personal or organizational gain through manipulation or misrepresentation of financial data, resulting in harm to others (Firmanza, Abidin, & Ruswanda, 2022). Common forms include income manipulation, misappropriation of assets, and abuse of authority—each undermining the integrity of financial statements and stakeholder confidence (Ramlan, Junaid, & Bakri, 2023). Due to its hidden and complex nature, early detection of fraud requires robust internal control systems, continuous monitoring, and advanced analytical techniques (Sukawanda & Kuntadi, 2024).

The Fraud Triangle remains one of the most widely used theoretical frameworks to understand the causes of fraud. It highlights three key elements:

pressure, opportunity, and rationalization (Zahra & Haryati, 2024). This model guides auditors in focusing on high-risk areas where these factors intersect (Sinaga & Rahmah, 2023). Later developments introduced the Fraud Diamond, which adds a fourth element capability emphasizing that individuals must possess certain skills or authority to execute fraudulent acts successfully (Kusmanto & Kuntadi, 2024). Recognizing this capability aspect is crucial for organizations to implement appropriate access controls and segregation of duties as preventive measures (Husnul Khatimah et al., 2024).

Fraud Prevention and Disclosure Mechanisms

Fraud prevention strategies involve reinforcing internal control systems, nurturing an ethical organizational culture, and establishing clear policies to minimize fraud risk (Maharani, Fitrijanti, & Mulyani, 2024). The implementation of secure whistleblowing systems plays a vital role in detecting early warning signs of fraud and fostering accountability (Rohmah et al., 2023). Furthermore, the adoption of digital platforms such as e-procurement and data analytics tools enhances transparency and reduces opportunities for collusion or manipulation (Sopyan, Amyar, & Pamungkas, 2024).

Fraud disclosure, meanwhile, refers to the process of collecting credible evidence and reporting fraudulent activities for legal or policy responses. The accuracy and completeness of these disclosures depend on an institution's forensic capacity and the collaboration between auditors and investigative teams (Kuntadi, Isnaini, & Pramukty, 2023). Forensic accounting and investigative auditing complement one another in this phase by providing well-documented evidence to support legal prosecution and corporate governance reforms (Rani, Maharani, & Machdar, 2024).

Corporate Governance and Ethical Oversight

Effective Corporate Governance (CG) frameworks establish oversight mechanisms such as audit committees, internal audits, and compliance units that limit opportunities for fraud (Sopyan, Amyar, & Pamungkas, 2024). The application of Good Corporate Governance (GCG) principles, including transparency, accountability, responsibility, and independence, has proven to reduce fraudulent behavior and improve the quality of financial reporting (Pujilestari & Machdar, 2024). Strong internal control systems aligned with these principles also help cultivate an ethical culture, thereby mitigating rationalization factors that often justify fraudulent acts (Kusmanto & Kuntadi, 2024).

Forensic accounting, investigative auditing, and good corporate governance function synergistically to create a holistic fraud management framework. Their combined implementation ensures that organizations not only detect and respond to fraud effectively but also build a sustainable culture of integrity and accountability across all operational levels.

C. METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative method using a narrative literature review approach. This approach was chosen because the objective of the research is to analyze and synthesize empirical findings and theoretical perspectives regarding the role of forensic accounting and investigative auditing in preventing and detecting corporate fraud. A descriptive-critical analysis was applied by integrating findings from various studies to develop a thematic synthesis that reveals underlying patterns, research gaps, and practical implications (Grant & Booth, 2021; Paré & Kitsiou, 2022).

The criteria for source selection were systematically established to ensure both relevance and recency. The reviewed materials consisted of peer-reviewed journal articles published between 2020 and 2024, written in English or Indonesian, and accessible in full-text form. A total of 20 studies were selected based on inclusion criteria focusing on forensic accounting, investigative auditing, fraud prevention or detection, and corporate governance while publications before 2020, non-peer-reviewed papers, and non-academic sources were excluded. The literature search was conducted through major academic databases such as Scopus, ScienceDirect, Emerald Insight, and Google Scholar, as well as national repositories such as Garuda and Neliti (Kitchenham & Charters, 2021; Xiao & Watson, 2019).

The review process followed the structured stages typically applied in systematic and narrative literature reviews:

1. Formulating research questions and defining search terms ("forensic accounting," "investigative auditing," "fraud prevention," "fraud detection," and "corporate governance");
2. Conducting initial searches across relevant databases;
3. Screening titles and abstracts to eliminate irrelevant studies;
4. Performing full-text reviews to ensure compliance with inclusion criteria;
5. Extracting key information, including research objectives, methods, populations, and findings; and
6. Synthesizing data through narrative and thematic coding to identify recurring themes, divergent findings, and conceptual gaps.

To improve the credibility and dependability of the findings, the data selection and extraction stages were conducted iteratively and cross-checked by researchers to minimize bias (Snyder, 2019; Booth, Sutton, & Papaioannou, 2021). This rigorous process ensures that the literature review not only maps current knowledge on forensic accounting and investigative auditing but also provides evidence-based insights for strengthening anti-fraud mechanisms in both the public and private sectors.

C.   RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fraud in financial reporting remains a critical issue that undermines corporate integrity, investor confidence, and national economic stability. The growing number of financial scandals across both private and state-owned enterprises has drawn attention to the urgent need for stronger preventive and investigative mechanisms. Consequently, forensic accounting and investigative auditing have emerged as vital disciplines in detecting, analyzing, and preventing fraudulent financial activities. To comprehensively understand the development of these concepts and their practical implications, a thematic synthesis of recent literature is presented below. This synthesis highlights key themes, authors, and findings that collectively illustrate the evolving role of forensic accounting and investigative auditing in enhancing corporate governance and fraud prevention.

Table 1. Thematic review on forensic accounting, investigative auditing, and fraud detection

Theme	Article/Author	Key Findings
Conceptual Foundation of Fraud and Forensic Accounting	Agoes (2013); Wind (2014); Herlambang (2011); Ernawati (2018)	Fraud differs from error in intentionality; forensic accounting applies investigative techniques to uncover and document evidence for litigation, while investigative auditing focuses on detecting and exposing financial crimes, jointly reinforcing accountability and transparency.
Empirical Studies on Fraud Detection	Ramlan et al. (2023); Sinaga & Rahmah (2023); Kuntadi et al. (2023)	Prior studies confirm the positive effect of forensic accounting and investigative audits on fraud detection capabilities, yet findings vary regarding the extent of their integration in organizational governance systems.
Whistleblowing and Reporting Systems	Pamungkas & Jaeni (2022); Siti Rohmah et al. (2023)	Divergent evidence shows that whistleblowing mechanisms and reporting systems play a mediating role between forensic audit effectiveness and fraud detection, but inconsistencies remain across institutional contexts in Indonesia.
Auditor Competence and Ethical Responsibility	Intan Permata Sari et al. (2023); Rina Deviani et al. (2024); Fiona Vista Putri & Agnes Aurora (2022)	The competence, integrity, and independence of auditors are critical determinants in ensuring effective fraud detection, prevention of earnings manipulation, and the reliability of audit-based decision-making.
Governance and Preventive Role of Forensic Audit	Setyawati & Bernawati (2020); Ernawati (2018); Adelia Putri & Tuti Meutia (2024)	Forensic accounting and investigative auditing contribute not only to post-fraud detection but also to preventive governance mechanisms that enhance corporate integrity, transparency, and public trust.

Source: Data and narrative processed by researchers (2025)

Fraud Prevention

Empirical evidence consistently shows that strengthening internal controls and applying Good Corporate Governance (GCG) principles can significantly reduce fraud risks across public and private sectors (Maharani, Fitrijanti, & Mulyani, 2024). The implementation of e-procurement systems has proven effective in improving transparency and minimizing opportunities for corruption (Sopyan, Amyar, & Pamungkas, 2024). Studies also highlight the importance of auditor competence and continuous professional training, which enhance the proactive use of forensic accounting as an early warning mechanism in detecting potential irregularities (Kuntadi, Isnaini, & Pramukty, 2023). However, the absence of institutional support and limited analytical resources may hinder its preventive effectiveness (Pamungkas & Jaeni, 2022). Furthermore, the success of whistleblowing mechanisms is largely influenced by the level of protection and organizational responsiveness; when these elements are weak, preventive impacts diminish substantially (Rohmah, Setiawati, Chairuddin, & Aditama, 2023). These findings align with the control and risk management theme in the literature, emphasizing that prevention effectiveness is context-dependent—varying across sectors, institutional culture, and governance maturity (Ramlan, Junaid, & Bakri, 2023).

Fraud Detection

A large body of research affirms that investigative audits are superior to conventional audits in detecting fraud because they emphasize evidence collection, analytical review, and pattern recognition (Ramlan, Junaid, & Bakri, 2023). The integration of forensic accounting with digital analytics tools further enhances the ability to identify hidden anomalies in transactions (Zahra & Haryati, 2024). Yet, inconsistent application of forensic techniques or limited auditor expertise can lead to mixed results, weakening detection effectiveness (Pamungkas & Jaeni, 2022). Repeated investigative audits not only uncover existing fraud but also elevate risk awareness and encourage improvements in internal control systems (Husnul Khatimah et al., 2024). Methodological differences quantitative perception-based surveys versus qualitative case analyses also explain variations in findings (Sinaga & Rahmah, 2023). These insights reflect the role of technological support and auditor competence highlighted in the literature synthesis, showing that detection success depends on human expertise reinforced by digital innovation.

Law Enforcement and Follow-up (Enforcement)

The enforcement of anti-fraud actions relies heavily on forensic audit reports that meet legal evidence standards and support administrative or judicial processes (Rani, Maharani, & Machdar, 2024). However, studies also reveal that weak coordination between supervisory and law enforcement institutions often obstructs case resolution (Pratiwi & Rely, 2024).

Organizations equipped with well-defined post-audit protocols including legal coordination, documentation, and communication systems demonstrate stronger enforcement outcomes (Maharani, Fitrijanti, & Mulyani, 2024). Moreover, public transparency and stakeholder pressure can accelerate corrective measures and policy reforms (Oktaviani Putri Pratiwi & Gilbert Rely, 2024). This aligns with the governance synergy theme, where coordination between internal audit, external audit, and governance bodies strengthens accountability and the effectiveness of law enforcement.

Critical Comparison Between Studies

Across studies, a consensus emerges that investigative auditing and forensic accounting play vital roles in enhancing fraud detection and prevention (Ardiansyah S. M. Irham et al., 2024). Nonetheless, discrepancies remain some studies find strong positive effects, while others observe limited or insignificant influence (Kuntadi, Isnaini, & Pramukty, 2023). These inconsistencies are mainly attributed to variations in auditor competence, technological adoption, and institutional support (Sukawanda & Kuntadi, 2024). Methodological differences also contribute; large scale quantitative studies reveal correlational insights, whereas qualitative or case-based research provides deeper contextual understanding (Pramesti & Kuntadi, 2022). Furthermore, moderating factors such as organizational culture, control systems, and governance environment significantly shape the strength of forensic and audit impacts on fraud outcomes (Kusmanto & Kuntadi, 2024). Collectively, these findings reinforce the literature synthesis themes particularly the integration of auditor competence, technological support, and governance synergy as the foundational pillars in building effective anti-fraud frameworks.

Findings, Problems, and Recommendations

The synthesis of previous studies reveals that although forensic accounting, investigative auditing, and good governance frameworks have significantly contributed to fraud detection and prevention, various institutional and operational challenges still limit their effectiveness. Several recurring issues emerge, such as inadequate auditor competence, insufficient technological support, weak internal controls, and the absence of strong coordination mechanisms for law enforcement follow-ups. To address these gaps, it is crucial to identify specific problem areas and develop actionable recommendations that enhance the integration between forensic accounting practices, investigative auditing, and governance mechanisms.

Table 2. Identified problems and strategic recommendations

Aspect	Identified Problems	Strategic Recommendations
Auditor Competence	Limited technical skills and professional certification among forensic and internal auditors reduce detection and prevention effectiveness.	Strengthen auditor capacity through continuous professional training, forensic certification programs, and integration of forensic audit modules in audit education and institutional training.
Technological Support	Minimal use of data analytics, e-procurement, and digital audit systems; audits still rely heavily on manual procedures.	Encourage adoption of big data analytics, AI-based fraud detection tools, and integrated audit information systems to improve transparency and efficiency.
Control and Risk Management	Weak internal control mechanisms and insufficient risk-based monitoring undermine fraud prevention efforts.	Implement risk-based internal control systems and empower internal auditors to play an active role in corporate risk management and compliance oversight.
Whistleblowing System & Ethical Culture	Lack of whistleblower protection and ineffective follow-up reduce trust in reporting mechanisms.	Strengthen legal and institutional protection for whistleblowers, ensure confidentiality, and cultivate an ethical organizational culture that promotes transparency.
Governance Synergy	Poor coordination among internal auditors, external auditors, and law enforcement agencies delays or weakens legal actions.	Establish integrated governance protocols and clear follow-up SOPs linking investigative audit results with legal enforcement mechanisms.
Enforcement and Follow-up	Inconsistent legal follow-up and political intervention reduce the deterrent effect of forensic findings.	Enhance regulatory frameworks mandating audit follow-ups, ensure auditor independence, and promote public disclosure of audit results.
Research Methodology Gap	Inconsistent empirical findings due to methodological and contextual variations (e.g., public vs. private sector studies).	Future studies should adopt mixed-method approaches combining surveys, case studies, and data analytics to produce more robust and generalizable insights.

Source: Data and narrative processed by researchers (2025)

The findings presented in table 2 emphasize that enhancing auditor competence, strengthening internal controls, and adopting advanced technological tools are fundamental to improving fraud management effectiveness. Moreover, ethical culture and institutional support play a mediating role, influencing how well forensic and investigative mechanisms function within organizations. When these dimensions operate synergistically, they foster a comprehensive governance ecosystem that discourages fraudulent practices.

In addition, the need for stronger enforcement mechanisms remains a critical challenge. Without consistent legal follow-up and transparent communication of forensic audit results, preventive and detection systems lose their deterrent power. Therefore, future policy and research efforts should focus on creating integrated governance structures, improving inter-agency coordination, and advancing the methodological rigor of studies in forensic accounting and fraud prevention.

E. CONCLUSION

This study concludes that forensic accounting and investigative auditing are essential mechanisms in strengthening corporate integrity and ensuring financial accountability. Their implementation helps organizations identify and prevent fraudulent practices through a combination of analytical rigor, ethical standards, and transparent governance processes. The integration of these approaches supports early fraud detection and fosters a culture of accountability across organizational levels.

The findings also highlight the increasing importance of auditor competence, independence, and technological capability in achieving effective audit outcomes. As organizations adopt digital-based auditing tools and forensic techniques, audit efficiency and precision improve significantly. However, the successful application of these technologies depends on continuous professional development and institutional readiness to embrace digital transformation. Despite the evident progress, challenges remain in terms of regulatory consistency, organizational transparency, and the adaptation of forensic audit practices within different institutional contexts. These limitations underline the need for stronger governance structures, enhanced auditor training, and the establishment of standardized forensic audit frameworks tailored to diverse industries.

REFERENCES

Arisendy, A. A., & Ratnawati, T. (2024). Pengaruh akuntansi forensik, audit investigatif, dan independensi terhadap pengungkapan fraud. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Audit, 18*(1), 45–57.

Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE). (2024). *The nations occupational fraud 2024: A report to the nations* (pp. 1–106). Association of Certified Fraud Examiners.

Bilqist Nabila Zahra, & Haryati, T. (2024). Analisis akuntansi forensik dan audit investigatif terhadap pengungkapan fraud oleh auditor: Studi literatur periode 2019–2023. *Jurnal Akuntansi Forensik, 6*(2), 15–28.

Dedi Ramlan, Junaid, A., & Bakri, A. A. (2023). Pengaruh akuntansi forensik, audit investigasi, dan profesionalisme audit terhadap kemampuan

mengungkap fraud pengelolaan keuangan daerah. *Jurnal Akuntansi Publik, 12*(1), 55–67.

Diana Kusmanto, & Kuntadi, C. (2024). Faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi pencegahan fraud: Audit internal, budaya organisasi dan akuntansi forensik. *Jurnal Pengawasan dan Audit, 5*(2), 18–29.

Elisa Khoeriyah Sukawanda, & Kuntadi, C. (2024). Faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi upaya pencegahan dan pengungkapan fraud: Peran penting akuntansi forensik dan audit investigasi. *Jurnal Akuntansi Forensik, 6*(1), 41–53.

Elvira Maharani, Fitrijanti, T., & Mulyani, S. (2024). Pengaruh pengendalian internal, akuntansi forensik, dan audit investigasi terhadap pencegahan fraud pada bank dalam pengawasan BPK RI. *Jurnal Keuangan Negara, 3*(2), 67–80.

Firmanza, A., Abidin, R. Z., & Ruswanda, A. (2022). Dampak fraud terhadap perekonomian dan sistem pengendalian. *Jurnal Ilmu Akuntansi dan Audit, 9*(1), 12–24.

Husnul Khatimah, Junaid, A., Muslim, & Alam, S. (2024). Pengaruh akuntansi forensik dan audit investigasi terhadap pengungkapan fraud (Studi pada Kantor BPKP Sulawesi Selatan). *Jurnal Akuntansi & Pemeriksaan, 10*(2), 55–67.

Khofidah Pujilestari, & Machdar, N. M. (2024). Pengaruh akuntansi forensik, audit investigatif, dan independensi auditor terhadap pengungkapan fraud. *Jurnal Akuntansi Pemerintahan, 8*(1), 40–51.

Kuntadi, C., Isnaini, R. S. F., & Pramukty, R. (2023). Pengaruh akuntansi forensik, audit investigatif, independensi, dan skeptisisme profesional terhadap pengungkapan fraud. *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi dan Audit, 11*(1), 1–13.

Meliana, Y., Simunapendi, J. I. R., & Sandari, T. E. (2024). Analisa pengaruh akuntansi forensik, whistleblowing, dan audit investigatif terhadap pencegahan fraud. *Jurnal Pengawasan dan Etika Publik, 3*(1), 58–70.

Navarrete, L., & Gallego, D. (2022). The role of forensic accounting in financial fraud prevention. *Journal of Financial Forensics, 8*(1), 1–12.

Oktaviani Putri Pratiwi, & Rely, G. (2024). Efektivitas audit investigatif, dan penerapan good corporate governance terhadap pencegahan fraud. *Jurnal Etika dan GCG, 5*(1), 27–38.

Pamungkas, B., & Jaeni. (2022). Efektivitas akuntansi forensik dan sistem whistleblowing dalam pencegahan fraud. *Jurnal Akuntansi Korporasi, 7*(2), 33–44.

Rani, A. S., Maharani, R. A., & Machdar, N. M. (2024). Dampak penerapan akuntansi forensik dan audit investigasi serta mengevaluasi proses

pengungkapan tindak pidana fraud pengadaan barang/jasa. *Jurnal Ilmu Akuntansi dan Audit, 10*(2), 34–49.

Rohmah, S., Setiawati, E., Chairuddin, S., & Aditama, R. (2023). Pengaruh akuntansi forensik, whistleblowing system dan audit investigasi dalam pengungkapan fraud. *Jurnal Pengawasan Internal, 4*(1), 21–34.

Sinaga, E. I., & Rahmah, M. (2023). Pengaruh akuntansi forensik dan audit investigasi terhadap pengungkapan fraud pelaporan keuangan. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan Daerah, 9*(1), 23–34.

Sopyan, A., Amyar, F., & Pamungkas, B. (2024). Pengaruh penerapan e-procurement, akuntansi forensik dan audit investigasi terhadap pencegahan fraud pengadaan barang dan jasa dimoderasi sistem pengendalian internal pada Pemerintah Kota Bandung. *Jurnal Akuntansi Pemerintahan, 7*(1), 60–72.

Sudarmadi, S. (2023). Akurasi laporan keuangan sebagai dasar pengambilan keputusan. *Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi, 17*(1), 34–46.

Sukawanda, E. K., & Kuntadi, C. (2024). Faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi upaya pencegahan dan pengungkapan fraud: Peran penting akuntansi forensik dan audit investigasi. *Jurnal Akuntansi Forensik, 6*(1), 41–53.

Tempo.co. (2025, Agustus 12). *Kasus korupsi tata kelola minyak mentah Pertamina.* Diakses dari https://www.tempo.com

Yuli Meliana, Simunapendi, J. I. R., & Sandari, T. E. (2024). Analisa pengaruh akuntansi forensik, whistleblowing, dan audit investigatif terhadap pencegahan fraud. *Jurnal Pengawasan dan Etika Publik, 3*(1), 58–70.

Ziah, S. U., & Kuntadi, C. (2023). Pengaruh akuntansi forensik, kemampuan dan pengendalian internal audit investigasi terhadap pengungkapan fraud (Studi pada Kantor BPKP Makassar). *Jurnal Akuntansi Publik, 9*(2), 50–62.